arXiv:1403.0063v1 [math.RT] 1 Mar 2014

Restricted Kac modules of Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras of odd type

Jixia Yuan[1,*] Wende Liu[2,†]
[1]*School of Mathematical Sciences, Heilongjiang University*
Harbin 150080, China
[3]*School of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Normal University*
Harbin 150025, China

Abstract This paper aims to describe the restricted Kac modules of restricted Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras of odd type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 3$. In particular, a sufficient and necessary condition for the restricted Kac modules to be irreducible is given in terms of typical weights.

Keywords: Lie superalgebras, Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras of odd type, restricted Kac modules
MSC 2000: 17B10, 17B50, 17B66

0. Introduction

Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, the finite-dimensional or infinite-dimensional irreducible modules were studied for the finite-dimensional simple Cartan Lie superalgebras $W(n), S(n)$ and $H(n)$ (cf. [6] and references therein). Over a field of characteristic $p > 0$, the analogs of these simple Cartan Lie superalgebras of characteristic zero are simple restricted Lie supealgebras with respect to the usual p-mapping (p-th associative power). Actually, in the characteristic $p > 0$ case, there are more finite-dimensional simple restricted Lie superalgebras, which are analogous to the finite-dimensional simple modular Lie algebras of Cartan type or infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields over $\mathbb{C}$. Among them are the first four series of finite-dimensional simple graded restricted Lie superalgebras of Cartan type W, S, H or K (cf. [14]), which are analogous to the finite-dimensional simple restricted Lie algebras of the Cartan type W, S, H or K (cf. [10]), respectively. Representations of these four series of restricted Lie superalgebras were studied by Shu, Zhang and Yao (cf. [8, 9, 11–13]). Additionally, there are four series of finite-dimensional graded simple restricted Lie superalgebras of type HO, KO, SHO or SKO (cf. [1]), which are analogous to the infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields over $\mathbb{C}$ (cf. [2]).

*Supported by the NSF of HLJ Provincial Education Department (12521158)
†Corresponding author. Email: wendeliu@ustc.edu.cn. Supported by the NNSF of China (11171055)

As far as we know, irreducible modules of the last four series of modular simple Lie superalgebras have not been studied yet. The aim of this paper is to make an attempt to describe the restricted simple modules for the so-called Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras of odd type over a field of prime characteristic.

1. Preliminaries

The ground field $\mathbb{F}$ is assumed to be algebraically closed of characteristic $p > 3$. Write $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ for the additive group of two elements, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ the sets of integers and nonnegative integers, respectively. For a vector superspace $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, we write $|x| = \theta$ for the parity of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogeneous element $x \in V_\theta$, $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. The notation $|x|$ appearing in the text implies that x is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogeneous element.

1.1. Divided power superalgebras. Fix a pair of positive integers m, n and write $\underline{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_m \mid r_{m+1}, \ldots, r_{m+n})$ for a $(m+n)$-tuple of non-negative integers. For an m-tuple $\underline{N} = (N_1, \ldots, N_m)$, following [3, 4], we write $\mathcal{O}(m, \underline{N} \mid n)$ for the divided power superalgebra, which is a supercommutative associative superalgebra having a (homogeneous) basis:

$$\{x^{(\underline{r})} \mid r_i < p^{N_i} \text{ for } i \leq m \text{ and } r_i = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for } i > m\}$$

with parities $|x^{(\underline{r})}| = \left(\sum_{i>m} r_i\right) \bar{1}$ and multiplication relations:

$$x^{(\underline{r})} x^{(\underline{s})} = \Pi_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \min(1, 2 - r_i - s_i)(-1)^{\Sigma_{m<i,j\leq m+n} r_j s_i} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{r} + \underline{s} \\ \underline{r} \end{pmatrix} x^{(\underline{r}+\underline{s})}.$$

As superalgebras, $\mathcal{O}(m, \underline{N} \mid n)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product superalgebra of the divided power algebra $\mathcal{O}(m, \underline{N})$ with the trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading and the exterior (super)algebra $\Lambda(n)$ with the usual $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading:

$$\mathcal{O}(m, \underline{N} \mid n) = \mathcal{O}(m, \underline{N}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda(n).$$

1.2. General vectorial Lie superalgebras. Let ϵ_i be the $(m+n)$-tuple with 1 at the i-th slot and 0 elsewhere. For simplicity we write x_i for $x^{(\epsilon_i)}$. Define the distinguished partial derivatives ∂_i with parity $|\partial_i| = |x_i|$ by letting

$$\partial_i \left(x^{(k\epsilon_j)}\right) = \delta_{ij} x^{((k-1)\epsilon_j)} \text{ for } k < p^{N_j}.$$

The Lie superalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(m, \underline{N} \mid n)$ of all superderivations contains an important subalgebra, called the general vectorial Lie superalgebra of distinguished superderivations (a.k.a. the Lie superlagebra of Witt type), denoted by $\mathfrak{vect}(m, \underline{N} \mid n)$ (a.k.a. $W(m, \underline{N} \mid n)$), having an $\mathbb{F}$-basis (see [3, 4] for more details)

$$\left\{x^{(\underline{r})} \partial_k \mid r_i < p^{N_i} \text{ for } i \leq m;\ 1 \leq k \leq m+n\right\}.$$

Generally speaking, $\mathfrak{vect}(m, \underline{N} \mid n)$ contains various finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras, which are analogous to finite-dimensional simple modular Lie algebras or infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields over $\mathbb{C}$, as mentioned in the introduction.

1.3. Hamiltonian superalgebras of odd type. From now on, suppose $m = n$. As in [3, 4], write

$$\mathrm{De}_f = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^{|\partial_i||f|} \partial_i(f)\partial_{i'},$$

where

$$i' = \begin{cases} i+n, & \text{if } i \le n, \\ i-n, & \text{if } i > n. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$|\mathrm{De}_f| = |f| + 1$$

and

$$[\mathrm{De}_f, \mathrm{De}_g] = \mathrm{De}_{\{f,g\}_B} \text{ for } f, g \in \mathcal{O}(n, \underline{N} \mid n),$$

where $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_B$ is the Buttion bracket given by

$$\{f, g\}_B = \mathrm{De}_f(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^{|\partial_i||f|} \partial_i(f)\partial_{i'}(g).$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{le}\,(n, \underline{N} \mid n) = \{\mathrm{De}_f \mid f \in \mathcal{O}(n, \underline{N} \mid n)\}$$

is a finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebra. We call it the Hamiltonian superalgebra of odd type. This Lie superalgebra is called the odd Hamiltonian superalgebra and denoted by $HO(n, n; \underline{N})$ in [5], which is analogous to the infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $HO(n, n)$ of vector fields over $\mathbb{C}$ in [2]. In the present paper, we adopt the notation in [3, 4].

1.4. Restricted Lie superalgebras and restricted modules. Recall that a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is restricted if $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ as Lie algebra is restricted and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ as $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-module is restricted. For a restricted Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the p-mapping of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is also called the p-mapping of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [p])$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra. A $\mathfrak{g}$-module M is called restricted if

$$x^p \cdot m = x^{[p]} \cdot m \text{ for all } \ x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, m \in M.$$

By definition, the restricted enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})/I$, where I is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded two-sided ideal of enveloping algebra $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by elements $\left\{x^p - x^{[p]} \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}\right\}$. Note that $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a natural structure of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded superalgebra. Suppose $(e_1, \ldots, e_m \mid f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogeneous basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ has the following $\mathbb{F}$-basis:

$$\left\{ f_1^{b_1} \cdots f_n^{b_n} e_1^{a_1} \cdots e_m^{a_m} \mid 0 \le a_i \le p-1, b_j = 0 \text{ or } 1 \right\}.$$

Note that the $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules are precisely the restricted $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.

A standard fact is that $\mathfrak{le}(n, \underline{N} \mid n)$ is a restricted Lie superalgebra if and only if

$$\underline{N} = \underline{1} := (1, \ldots, 1).$$

Note that the unique p-mapping of $\mathfrak{le}(n, \underline{1} \mid n)$ is the usual (associative) p-power.

This paper aims to study the finite-dimensional irreducible restricted modules of $\mathfrak{le}(n, \underline{1} \mid n)$. From now on we abbreviate $\mathcal{O}(n, \underline{1} \mid n)$ to $\mathcal{O}(n)$, and $\mathfrak{le}(n, \underline{1} \mid n)$ to $\mathfrak{le}(n)$.

2. Kac modules and root reflections

For a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $V = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} V_{[i]}$, we write $\deg v = i$ for the $\mathbb{Z}$-degree of a $\mathbb{Z}$-homogeneous element $v \in V_{[i]}$. Put

$$\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) = \mathfrak{le}(n) \oplus \mathbb{F}\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i.$$

By letting $\deg x_i = 1 = -\deg\partial_i$, $\mathfrak{le}(n)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$ become $\mathbb{Z}$-graded superalgebras.

2.1. Triangular decompositions. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i$, where

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\mathrm{De}_{x_i x_{i'}} \mid i \le n\}.$$

Then $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{[0]}$ and

$$\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) = \oplus_{\alpha\in\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*}\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_\alpha,$$

where

$$\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_\alpha = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\left\{x \in \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) \mid [h,x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for } h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}\right\}.$$

We denote the basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$,

$$\varepsilon_i = \left(\mathrm{De}_{x_i x_{i'}}\right)^*,\ \delta = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n} x_j\partial_j\right)^* \text{ for } i \le n.$$

We still write ε_i for $\varepsilon_i|_{\mathfrak{h}}$, if no confusion occurs. Clearly,

$$\mathrm{De}_{x_i} \in \begin{cases} \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{-\varepsilon_i-\delta} & \text{if } i \le n \\ \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{\varepsilon_{i'}-\delta} & \text{if } i > n. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{[0]}$ has a standard triangular decomposition

$$\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{[0]} = \mathfrak{n}^-_{[0]} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_{[0]},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{n}^-_{[0]} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\mathrm{De}_{x_i x_{n+j}} \mid n \ge i > j\} + \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\mathrm{De}_{x_k x_l} \mid k,l > n\},$$

$$\mathfrak{n}^+_{[0]} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\mathrm{De}_{x_i x_{n+j}} \mid i < j \le n\} + \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\mathrm{De}_{x_k x_l} \mid k,l \le n\}.$$

Then $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$ has a standard triangular decomposition

$$\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) = \mathfrak{n}^-_0 \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_0,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{n}^-_0 = \mathfrak{n}^-_{[0]} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{[-1]},\ \mathfrak{n}^+_0 = \mathfrak{n}^+_{[0]} \oplus_{i>0} \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{[i]}.$$

2.2. Root reflections. We now define a sequence of root reflections in the order: $\gamma_{-\varepsilon_1-\delta},\dots,\gamma_{-\varepsilon_n-\delta},\gamma_{\varepsilon_n-\delta},\dots,\ \gamma_{\varepsilon_1-\delta}$. Firstly we define

$$\mathfrak{n}_1^+ = \gamma_{-\varepsilon_1-\delta}(\mathfrak{n}_0^+)$$

to be obtained by removing the subspace

$$W_1 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\left\{\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{n+1}+\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n+j})}}, \mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{n+1}+\epsilon_{n+i}+\epsilon_{n+j})}} \mid n\geq i\geq j\right\}$$

from $\mathfrak{n}_0^+$ and adding $\mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_1}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{n}_1^- = W_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^- \oplus \sum_{i=2}^{2n}\mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_i}.$$

Then $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) = \mathfrak{n}_1^- \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1^+$ is a new triangular decomposition. Suppose we have defined

$$\mathfrak{n}_{k-1}^+ = \gamma_{-\varepsilon_{k-1}-\delta}\cdots\gamma_{-\varepsilon_1-\delta}(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) \text{ for } 2\leq k\leq n.$$

Then we define

$$\mathfrak{n}_k^+ = \gamma_{-\varepsilon_k-\delta}(\mathfrak{n}_{k-1}^+)$$

to be obtained by removing the subspace W_k spanned by all $\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r}+\epsilon_{n+i}+\epsilon_{n+j})}}$ and $\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r}+\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n+j})}}$ with

$$r_1=\cdots=r_n=r_{n+k+1}=\cdots=r_{2n}=0, r_{n+k}=1, n\geq i\geq j$$

from $\mathfrak{n}_{k-1}^+$ and adding $\mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_k}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{n}_k^- = \sum_{i=1}^{k} W_i \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^- \oplus \sum_{i=k+1}^{2n}\mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_i}.$$

Then $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) = \mathfrak{n}_k^- \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_k^+$ is a new triangular decomposition. Next we define

$$\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}^+ = \gamma_{\varepsilon_n-\delta}(\mathfrak{n}_n^+)$$

to be obtained by removing root the space W_{n+1} spanned by

$$\{\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r}+\epsilon_{n+i}+\epsilon_{n+j})}}, \mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r}+\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n+j})}} \mid r_1=\cdots=r_{n-1}=0, r_n\geq 1, n\geq i\geq j\}$$

from $\mathfrak{n}_n^+$ and adding $\mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_{2n}}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}^- = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_i \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^- \oplus \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n-1}\mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_i}.$$

Then $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) = \mathfrak{n}_{n+1}^- \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{n+1}^+$ is a new triangular decomposition. Suppose we have defined

$$\mathfrak{n}_{n+k}^+ = \gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-(k-1)}-\delta}\cdots\gamma_{\varepsilon_n-\delta}(\mathfrak{n}_n^+) \text{ for } 2\leq k\leq n.$$

Finally we define

$$\mathfrak{n}_{n+k+1}^+ = \gamma_{\varepsilon_{n-k}-\delta}(\mathfrak{n}_{n+k}^+)$$

to be obtained by removing the space W_{n+k+1} spanned by all $\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r}+\epsilon_{n+i}+\epsilon_{n+j})}}$ and $\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r}+\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n+j})}}$ with

$$r_1 = \cdots = r_{n-k-1} = 0, r_{n-k} \geq 1, \cdots, r_n \geq 1, n \geq i \geq j$$

from $\mathfrak{n}^+_{n+k}$ and adding $\mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_{2n-k}}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{n}^-_{n+k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+k+1} W_i \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-_{[0]} \oplus \sum_{i=k+1}^{2n-k-1} \mathbb{F}\mathrm{De}_{x_i}.$$

Then $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n) = \mathfrak{n}^-_{n+k+1} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_{n+k+1}$ is a new triangular decomposition. Note that

$$\mathfrak{n}^+_{2n} = \mathfrak{n}^+_{[0]} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{[-1]},\ \mathfrak{n}^-_{2n} = \mathfrak{n}^-_{[0]} \oplus_{i>0} \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)_{[i]}.$$

2.3. Restricted Vermas module and Kac modules. Write $\mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathfrak{le}(n)$ or $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}$) has a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = N^- \oplus H_\mathfrak{g} \oplus N^+ \text{ (resp. } \mathfrak{g}_{[0]} = N^-_{[0]} \oplus H_\mathfrak{g} \oplus N^+_{[0]}),$$

where $H_\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \delta_{\mathfrak{g},\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)} \mathbb{F} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i$. Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a $\mathfrak{g}$-module (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}$-module). Suppose for $\lambda \in H^*_\mathfrak{g}$ there is a nonzero vector $v_\lambda \in V_{\bar{0}} \cup V_{\bar{1}}$ such that

$$x \cdot v_\lambda = 0,\ h \cdot v_\lambda = \lambda(h)v_\lambda, \text{ for } x \in N^+ \text{ (resp. } x \in N^+_{[0]}),\ h \in H_\mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then v_λ is called a highest weight vector of V with respect to $B = H_\mathfrak{g} \oplus N^+$ (resp. $B_{[0]} = H_\mathfrak{g} \oplus N^+_0$). If V is a restricted $\mathfrak{g}$-module (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}$-module), then $\lambda \in \Lambda_\mathfrak{g}$, where

$$\Lambda_\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n, \delta_{\mathfrak{g},\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}\delta\}.$$

Conversely, for any given $\lambda \in \Lambda_\mathfrak{g}$, there is a one-dimensional restricted B-module (resp. $B_{[0]}$-module) $\mathbb{F}v_\lambda$ satisfying (2.1). Write $L^B_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda)$ (resp. $L^{B_{[0]}}_{\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}}(\lambda)$) for the unique irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded quotient of restricted Verma module $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbf{u}(B)} \mathbb{F}v_\lambda$ (resp. $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}) \otimes_{\mathbf{u}(B_{[0]})} \mathbb{F}v_\lambda$) of $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}$) with respect to B (resp. $B_{[0]}$). Recall that, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\mathfrak{g}$,

$$I_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda) = \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbf{u}(\oplus_{i\geq 0}\mathfrak{g}_{[i]})} L^{\mathfrak{n}^+_{[0]} \oplus H_\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}}(\lambda)$$

is called a restricted Kac module of $\mathfrak{g}$. We know that $I_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda)$ has a unique irreducible quotient module $L^{\mathfrak{n}^+_0 \oplus H_\mathfrak{g}}_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda)$ and

$$\left\{L^{\mathfrak{n}^+_0 \oplus H_\mathfrak{g}}_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_\mathfrak{g}\right\}$$

consists of all the irreducible restricted $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}$, it is easily seen that $I_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible as $\mathfrak{le}(n)$-module if and only if $I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible as $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$-module. In the below, we shall study the irreducibility of $I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}$.

2.4. Irreducible quotients of restricted Verma modules. For $i \leq n$, put

$$\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\mathrm{De}_{x_j x_{j'}} \mid j \leq n, j \neq i\}.$$

By the definition of $\mathfrak{h}_i$, one can easily get

$$\mathfrak{h}_i = \{h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \mid \varepsilon_i(h) = \delta(h) = 0\}.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 2n$, put $\mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{n}_i^+ \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. Let $i \leq n$, and $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}$.

(1) If $\lambda(\mathfrak{h}_i) = 0$, then

$$L^{\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda) \cong L^{\mathfrak{b}_i}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda).$$

(2) If $\lambda(\mathfrak{h}_i) \neq 0$, then

$$L^{\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda) \cong L^{\mathfrak{b}_i}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda - \varepsilon_i - \delta).$$

(3) If $\lambda(\mathfrak{h}_{n-i+1}) \neq 0$, then

$$L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda) \cong L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda + (p-1)(\varepsilon_{n-i+1} - \delta)).$$

(4) If $\lambda = a\delta$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then

$$L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda) \cong L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda).$$

(5) If $\lambda = a\varepsilon_{n-i+1} + b\delta$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $a \neq 0, 1$, then

$$L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda) \cong L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda + (p-1)(\varepsilon_{n-i+1} - \delta)).$$

(6) If $\lambda = \varepsilon_{n-i+1} + b\delta$, where $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then

$$L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda) \cong L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda + (p-2)(\varepsilon_{n-i+1} - \delta)).$$

Proof. (1) Let $0 \neq v$ be a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}$. We claim that v is also a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_i$. By the definition of $\gamma_{-\varepsilon_i - \delta}$, we have to check that $\mathrm{De}_{x_i} \cdot v = 0$. Suppose $\mathrm{De}_{x_i} \cdot v \neq 0$. One can easily get

$$X \cdot (\mathrm{De}_{x_i} \cdot v) = 0 \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{b}_{i-1}.$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{De}_{x_i} \cdot v$ is a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}$, which contradicts the uniqueness of highest weight vector with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}$ (up to proportionality).

(2) Let $0 \neq v$ be a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}$. In this case there exists $j \neq i$, where $j \leq n$, such that $\lambda\left(\mathrm{De}_{x_j x_{j'}}\right) \neq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{i'}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}} \cdot \mathrm{De}_{x_i} \cdot v &= -\mathrm{De}_{x_i} \cdot \mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{i'}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}} \cdot v \\
&\quad + \lambda\left(\left[\mathrm{De}_{x_i}, \mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{i'}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}}\right]\right) v \\
&= \lambda\left(\mathrm{De}_{x_j x_{j'}}\right) v \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $\mathrm{De}_{x_i}\cdot v\neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{De}_{x_i}\cdot v$ is a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_i$.

(3) In this case there exists $j\neq n-i+1$, where $j\leq n$, such that $\lambda\left(\mathrm{De}_{x_jx_{j'}}\right)\neq 0$. Let $0\neq v$ be a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}$. We claim that

$$\mathrm{De}^{p-1}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\neq 0.$$

Suppose not. Then by applying $\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{n-i+1}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{(n-i+1)'}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}}\cdot\mathrm{De}^{p-1}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\\
&= -\mathrm{De}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{(n-i+1)'}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}}\cdot\mathrm{De}^{p-2}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\\
&\quad +\lambda\left(\left[\mathrm{De}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}},\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{(n-i+1)'}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}}\right]\right)\mathrm{De}^{p-2}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\\
&= (1-p)\lambda\left(\mathrm{De}_{x_jx_{j'}}\right)\mathrm{De}^{p-2}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v,
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathrm{De}^{p-2}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v=0$. By repeated applications of $\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\epsilon_{(n-i+1)'}+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_{j'})}}$, we can get $v=0$, a contradiction. A direct verification shows that $\mathrm{De}^{p-1}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v$ is a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{n+i}$.

(4) The proof is similar to the one of (1).

(5) Let $0\neq v$ be a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}$. Using the fact that

$$\mathrm{De}_{x^{((p-1)\epsilon_{n-i+1}+\epsilon_{(n-i+1)'})}}\cdot\mathrm{De}^{(p-2)}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v=\lambda\left(\mathrm{De}_{x_{n-i+1}x_{(n-i+1)'}}\right)v=av\neq 0,\quad(2.2)$$

we get

$$\mathrm{De}^{(p-2)}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\neq 0.$$

Using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{De}_{x^{(2\epsilon_{n-i+1}+\epsilon_{(n-i+1)'})}}\cdot\mathrm{De}^{(p-1)}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\\
=\ &\left((p-1)\lambda+\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p-2)(\varepsilon_{n-i+1}-\delta)\right)\left(\mathrm{De}_{x_{n-i+1}x_{(n-i+1)'}}\right)\mathrm{De}^{p-2}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}v\\
=\ &(1-a)\mathrm{De}^{p-2}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}v\neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we get $\mathrm{De}^{(p-1)}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{De}^{p-1}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v$ is a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{n+i}$.

(6) Let $0\neq v$ be a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}$. We claim $\mathrm{De}^{(p-1)}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v=0$. If not, then $\mathrm{De}^{(p-1)}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v$ is a highest weight vector of $\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}$. Eq. (2.2) implies $\mathrm{De}^{(p-2)}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v\neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{De}^{p-2}_{x_{(n-i+1)'}}\cdot v$ is a highest weight vector of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_{n+i-1}}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{n+i}$.

□

3. Irreducibility of restricted Kac modules

Recall the symplectic supergroup

$$\mathrm{SP}(n,\mathbb{F}) = \left\{A \in \mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathbb{F}) \mid A^T J A = J\right\},$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conformal symplectic supergroup $\mathrm{CSP}(n,\mathbb{F})$ is a direct product of the symplectic group $\mathrm{SP}(n,\mathbb{F})$ with the one dimensional multiplicative supergroup $\mathbb{F}^*$. Each $\phi \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O}(n,\underline{1})_{[1]},\mathbb{F}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda(n)_{[1]},\mathbb{F})$ can be extended to a $\mathbb{Z}$-homogeneous element of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(n))$, which is still denoted by ϕ. Now we define

$$f_\phi(x) = \phi^{-1} x \phi, \text{ for } x \in \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n).$$

Let $\phi \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O}(n,\underline{1})_{[1]},\mathbb{F}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda(n)_{[1]},\mathbb{F})$. If $\phi \in \mathrm{CSP}(n,\mathbb{F})$, then $f_\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n))$. (See I in Appendix).

3.1. $(\mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)),\mathfrak{T})$-module. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be the canonical maximal torus of the $\mathrm{CSP}(n,\mathbb{F})$. Then

$$\mathfrak{T} \cong \left\{\mathrm{diag}(tt_1,\ldots,tt_n,tt_1^{-1},\ldots,tt_n^{-1}) \mid t,t_i \in \mathbb{F}^*\right\}$$

and the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{T}$ coincides with $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $X(\mathfrak{T})$ be the character group of $\mathfrak{T}$. Then

$$X(\mathfrak{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i,$$

where, for $t,t_i \in \mathbb{F}^*$,

$$\Lambda_i(\mathrm{diag}(tt_1,\ldots,tt_n,tt_1^{-1},\ldots,tt_n^{-1})) = \begin{cases} t_i^{-1} & if\ 1 \le i \le n \\ t & if\ i = n+1. \end{cases}$$

By definition, a rational $\mathfrak{T}$-module V means that $V = \oplus_{\lambda \in X(\mathfrak{T})} V_\lambda$, where

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid \overline{t}(v) = \lambda(\overline{t})v \text{ for } \overline{t} \in \mathfrak{T}\}.$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{J} = \{\mathrm{diag}(t,\ldots,t) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}^*\}.$$

Then we have a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded decomposition for a rational $\mathfrak{T}$-module $V = \oplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} V_s$ with

$$V_s = \left\{v \in V \mid \overline{t}(v) = t^s v \text{ for } \overline{t} = \mathrm{diag}(t,\ldots,t) \in \mathfrak{J}\right\}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{J}}(V) = \{s \in \mathbb{Z} \mid V_s \neq 0\}.$$

We define the action of $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$ by

$$\overline{t}(a) = f_{\overline{t}}(a) \text{ for } \overline{t} \in \mathfrak{T}, a \in \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n).$$

$U(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n))$ and its canonical subalgebras become rational $\mathfrak{T}$-modules with the action given by

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\overline{t})(a_1 \cdots a_l) = \overline{t}(a_1) \cdots \overline{t}(a_l),$$

where $a_i \in \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$ and $\overline{t} \in \mathfrak{T}$. Since

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\overline{t})(x^{[p]}) = \mathrm{Ad}(\overline{t})(x)^p \text{ for } x \in \overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n), \overline{t} \in \mathfrak{T},$$

$\mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n))$ is also a rational $\mathfrak{T}$-module. A finite dimensional superspace $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ is called a $(\mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)), \mathfrak{T})$-module if V is a $\mathbf{u}\left(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)\right)$-module and each $V_{\bar{i}}$ $(i = 0, 1)$ is a $\mathfrak{T}$-module and satisfies:

(1) the actions of $\mathfrak{h}$ coming from $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$ and from $\mathfrak{T}$ coincide,

(2) $\overline{t}(a \cdot v) = \mathrm{Ad}(\overline{t})(a)\overline{t}(v)$, for $\overline{t} \in \mathfrak{T}, a \in \mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)), v \in V$.

Example 3.1. $I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ and $L^{\mathfrak{b}_i}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ $(0 \leq i \leq 2n)$ are $\left(\mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)), \mathfrak{T}\right)$-modules, where $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}$ (See I in Appendix).

3.2. Main results. For any $\left(\mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)), \mathfrak{T}\right)$-module V, we define the length of V as the number $|\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{J}}(V)|$ minus 1, denoted by $l(V)$. Write $\mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathfrak{le}(n)$ or $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$. Put

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_i + \delta_{\mathfrak{g},\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)} b\delta, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j + \sum_{l=i}^{n} \varepsilon_l + \delta_{\mathfrak{g},\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)} b\delta \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p, i \leq n \right\}.$$

A weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is said to be atypical if $\lambda \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$; Otherwise, λ is said to be typical.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}$ and i $(1 \leq i \leq 2n)$, there is uniquely a weight in $\Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}$, which is denoted by λ_i, such that $L^{\mathfrak{b}_i}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda_i) = L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 1. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}$, the restricted Kac module $I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible if and only if λ is typical.

Proof. Let υ_0 and υ_{2n} be the highest weight vectors of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_0$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{2n}$, respectively (see Subsection 2.3), and suppose

$$\overline{t}(\upsilon_0) = t^{l_0}\upsilon_0,\ \overline{t}(\upsilon_{2n}) = t^{l_{2n}}\upsilon_{2n} \text{ for } \overline{t} = \mathrm{diag}(t, \ldots, t) \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

We have $l\left(L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)\right) = l_{2n} - l_0$. Then $l\left(L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)\right) \leq l\left(I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)\right) = pn$ and $I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible if and only if $l\left(L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)\right) = pn$. Then it suffices to show that $l\left(L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)\right) = pn$ if and only if λ is typical. By Proposition 2.1, we have the following facts:

(a) For $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\lambda_{k-1}(\mathfrak{h}_k) = 0$ if and only if

$$\lambda = b\delta \text{ or } \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_k + b\delta,\ 0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

(b) For $k = n$, $\lambda_k(\mathfrak{h}_{2n-k}) = 0$ if and only if

$$\lambda = b\delta \text{ or } \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_n + b\delta, 0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

(c) For $k > n$, $\lambda_k(\mathfrak{h}_{2n-k}) = 0$ if and only if

$$\lambda = b\delta \text{ or } \sum_{j=1}^{2n-k} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_{2n-k} + 2 \sum_{j=2n-k+1}^{n} \varepsilon_j + b\delta, 0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

(d) If $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_n + b\delta$, where $0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\lambda_n = a\varepsilon_n + (b-n+1)\delta$.

(e) For $k > n$ and $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-k} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_{2n-k} + 2\sum_{j=2n-k+1}^{n} \varepsilon_j + b\delta, 0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $\lambda_k = a\varepsilon_{2n-k} + (b-2n+k)\delta$.

By the facts (a)–(e) and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$l\left(L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & if\ \lambda = b\delta, b \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ pn-1, & if\ \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_i + b\delta, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ pn-p, & if\ \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j + a\varepsilon_n + b\delta, 0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ pn-1, & if\ \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j + \sum_{j=i}^{n} \varepsilon_j + b\delta, b \in \mathbb{F}_p, i < n \\ pn, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is, $l\left(L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)\right) = pn$ if and only if λ is typical. The proof is complete. □

As a corollary of Theorem 1, we have

Theorem 2. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}$, $I_{\mathfrak{le}(n)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible if and only if λ is typical.

Appendix

I. Let

$$\phi(x_i) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ji}x_j, & \text{if } i \leq n \\ \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} a_{ji}x_j, & \text{if } i > n. \end{cases}$$

If $\phi = \mathrm{diag}(t, \ldots, t) \in \mathfrak{J}$, then

$$f_\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \phi(x_i) f_\phi(\partial_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} t x_i t^{-1}\partial_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$f_\phi(\mathrm{De}_f) = t^{\deg f - 2}\mathrm{De}_f \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{O}(n). \tag{A.2}$$

If $\phi \in \mathrm{SP}(n, \mathbb{F})$, then for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}a_{j'k'} = \delta_{ij}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \phi(x_i) f_\phi(\partial_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ji}x_j \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k'i'}\partial_k + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}\sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} a_{ji}x_j \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} a_{k'i'}\partial_k \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ji}a_{k'i'}\right) x_j\partial_k + \sum_{j,k=n+1}^{2n}\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} a_{ji}a_{k'i'}\right) x_j\partial_k \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n} \delta_{jk}x_j\partial_k = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

and

$$f_\phi(\mathrm{De}_f) = \mathrm{De}_{\phi(f)} \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{O}(n). \tag{A.4}$$

Eqs. (A.2) and (A.4) imply that

$$f_\phi([\mathrm{De}_f, \mathrm{De}_g]) = [f_\phi(\mathrm{De}_f), f_\phi(\mathrm{De}_g)] \text{ for } f, g \in \mathcal{O}(n).$$

Using Eqs. (A.1–A.4) and the fact that ϕ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-homogeneous automorphism of $\mathcal{O}(n)$, we have

$$f_\phi\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\partial_i, \mathrm{De}_f\right]\right) = \left[f_\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\partial_i\right), f_\phi(\mathrm{De}_f)\right] \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{O}(n).$$

Therefore, $f_\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}\left(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)\right)$.

II. As in [7], we have

$$X(\mathfrak{T})/pX(\mathfrak{T}) \cong \Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}.$$

Then for $a \in \mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n))$ and a highest weight vector v_λ of $L^{\mathfrak{b}_0}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_0$, we can define

$$\overline{t}(a \otimes v_\lambda) = \mathrm{Ad}(\overline{t})(a) \otimes \lambda(\overline{t})(v_\lambda).$$

Clearly, $I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)_{\bar{i}}$, $i = 0, 1$, is a $\mathfrak{T}$-module and

$$\overline{t}(a \cdot v) = \mathrm{Ad}(\overline{t})(a)\overline{t}(v) \text{ for } \overline{t} \in \mathfrak{T}, a \in \mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)), v \in I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda).$$

We claim the action of $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$ coincides with that of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. For

$$\overline{t} = \mathrm{diag}(tt_1, \ldots, tt_n, tt_1^{-1}, \ldots, tt_n^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{T},\ x^{(\underline{r})} \in \mathcal{O}(n) \text{ and } h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}},$$

we can check the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{t}(\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r})}}) &= t^{\mathrm{deg}x^{(\underline{r})}-2} t_1^{r_1} \cdots t_n^{r_n} t_1^{-r_{n+1}} \cdots t_n^{-r_{2n}} \mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r})}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(r_{i'} - r_i)\Lambda_i + (\mathrm{deg}x^{(\underline{r})} - 2)\Lambda_{n+1}\right)(\overline{t})\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r})}},\end{aligned}$$

$$[h, \mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r})}}] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(r_{i'} - r_i)\varepsilon_i + (\mathrm{deg}x^{(\underline{r})} - 2)\delta\right)(h)\mathrm{De}_{x^{(\underline{r})}},$$

$$\overline{t}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i = 0(\overline{t})\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i,$$

$$\left[h, \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i\right] = 0 = 0(h)\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i\partial_i.$$

Summarizing, the action of $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)$ coincides with that on $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then $I_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ is a $\left(\mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)), \mathfrak{T}\right)$-module. Similarly, $L^{\mathfrak{b}_i}_{\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)}(\lambda)$ is also $\left(\mathbf{u}(\overline{\mathfrak{le}}(n)), \mathfrak{T}\right)$-module, $0 \leq i \leq 2n$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Professor Chaowen Zhang for several conversations and suggestions on this topic.

References

[1] W. Bai, W. D. Liu. Superderivations for Lie superalgebras of Cartan-type in modular case. *Algebr. Represent. Theory,* **17**(1) (2014): 69–86.

[2] V. G. Kac. Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras. *Adv. Math.,* **139**(1) (1998): 1–55.

[3] A. Lebedev. Analogs of the orthogonal, Hamiltonian, Possion and contact Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **17**(Supp01) (2010): 217–251.

[4] D. Leites (ed.) (J. Bernstein, S. Bouarroudj, B. Clarke, P. Grozman, A. Lebedev, D. Leites, I. Shchepochkina) Representation theory. (Vol. 2. Nonholonomic distributions in quest for simple modular Lie superalgebras), A. Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Lahore (2009).

[5] W. D. Liu, Y. Z. Zhang. Finite-dimensional odd Hamiltonian superalgebras over a field of prime characteristic. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.,* **79**(01) (2005): 113–130.

[6] V. Serganova. On representations of Cartan type Lie superalgebras. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.-Series 2,* **213** (2005): 223–240.

[7] B. Shu, Y. F. Yao. Character formulas for restricted simple modules of the special superalgebras. *Math. Nachr.,* **285**(8-9) (2012): 1107–1116.

[8] B. Shu, C. W. Zhang. Representations of the restricted Cartan type Lie superalgebra $W(m, n, \underline{1})$. *Algebr. Represent. Theory,* **14**(3) (2011): 463–481.

[9] B. Shu, C. W. Zhang. Restricted representations of the Witt superalgebras. *J. Algebra,* **324**(4) (2010): 652–672.

[10] H. Strade, R. Farnsteriner. Modular Lie algebras and their representations. *CRC Press,* **116** (1988).

[11] Y. F. Yao. On restricted representations of the extended special type Lie superalgebra $\bar{S}(m, n, 1)$. *Monatsh. Math.,* **170**(2) (2012): 239–255.

[12] Y. F. Yao. Non-restricted representations of simple Lie superalgebras of special type and Hamiltonian type. *Sci. China Ser. A.,* **56**(2) (2013): 239–252.

[13] Y. F. Yao, B. Shu. Restricted representations of Lie superalgebras of Hamiltonian type. *Algebr. Represent. Theory,* 2013, **16**(3): 615–632.

[14] Y. Z. Zhang. Finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras of Cartan type over fields of prime characteristic. *Chin. Sci. Bull.,* **42**(9) (1997): 720–724.